

Coronet vs Netskope

This document compares Coronet and Netskope.

Because cyber security packages sometimes differ in scope, we thought it would be most beneficial to compare using 7 key categories:



- Email Protection
- Cloud application protection
- Endpoint protection
- Access control
- Regulatory compliance
- Implementation and Maintenance
- Cost per user

Within each category, we listed the most important features that are required in order to provide adequate protection against the growing threat landscape.

Features

			
Email protection	Malware/ransomware	✓	P third party
	Phishing	✓	P third party
	Spear phishing	✓	P third party
	Hacking	✓	✓
	Insider Threats	✓	✓
	Data leakage	✓	✓
Cloud application protection	Malware/ransomware	✓	P third party
	Hacking	✓	✓
	Insider Threats	✓	✓
	Data leakage	✓	✓
Geofencing	✓	✗	
Abnormal user behavior	✓	✗	
Endpoint	Malware/ransomware	✓	P third party
	Hacking	✓	P third party
Access from compromised device	✓	✗	
Access from unsafe network	✓	✗	
Data Leakage protection	Malware/ransomware	✓	✓
	Hacking	✓	✓
	Insider Threats	✓	✓
	Data leakage	✓	✓
Compliance	PCI/PHI/PII	✓	✓
	HIPPA	✓	✓
	GDPR/ CCPA	✓	✓
	SOC2	✓	✗
Pricing		\$5.99 no minimum fee required	\$23 for basic packages + DLP/ Encryption/ Malware protection – priced separately in addition

Implementation and Maintenance

		
Implementation	1 hour (AI based)	15-40 hours (manually done)
Daily maintenance	5 minutes	5 hours
Training included	Yes, for any client	Depending on package
Ongoing tech-support	Yes, for any client	Depending on package

In conclusion: Netskope was designed and built for the Enterprise market, and as such, is expensive, complex, and assumes a security team will be present to operate it. Coronet was designed from the ground up to be inexpensive, elegantly simple, and requires virtually no human supervision. Coronet provides enterprise grade cybersecurity for companies of any size.